

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

30, 5, 12, 30,	10/01/97	BENSON	. ¬	S 4356 EXAMINER
PE APPLIED BIO PAUL D GROSSMA B50 LINCOLN CE FOSTER CITY CA	AN ENTRE DRI			DATE MAILED:

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Office Action Summary

Application No. **08/942,067**

Applicant(s)

Benson et al.

Examiner

Scott W. Houtteman

Group Art Unit 1634



Responsive to communication(s) filed on	
☐ This action is FINAL .	
☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for for in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.	
A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to ex is longer, from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to reapplication to become abandoned. (35 U.S.C. § 133). Extensions 37 CFR 1.136(a).	espond within the period for response will cause the
Disposition of Claims	
	is/are pending in the application.
Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.
☐ Claim(s)	is/are allowed.
	is/are rejected.
Claim(s)	is/are objected to.
☐ Claims	are subject to restriction or election requirement.
Application Papers	
☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Re	view, PTO-948.
The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected t	o by the Examiner.
The proposed drawing correction, filed on	is 🗆 approved 🗆 disapproved.
☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	
$\hfill\Box$ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	
Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under	er 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d).
☐ All ☐ Some* ☐ None of the CERTIFIED copies of the	priority documents have been
received.	
received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number	
☐ received in this national stage application from the Inte	rnational Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
*Certified copies not received:	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority ur	ider 35 U.S.C. 3 119(e).
Attachment(s)	
Notice of References Cited, PTO-892 Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s).	7/22/09
☐ Interview Summary, PTO-413	1/23/36
☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948	
☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152	
SEE OFFICE ACTION ON THE I	-OLLOWING PAGES

Art Unit 1634

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness 1. rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 C.F.R. § 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of potential 35 U.S.C. § 102(f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. § 103.

2. Claims 1-37 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lee et al. Nucleic Acids Res. 20(10):24712-83 (1992). Abstract and Xanthylium structure.

Lee teaches a xanthine dye compound. See RN No. 142975-78-8. Lee also taught the various phosphoramidate derivatives, and the methods of sequencing and polynucleotide fragment analysis. This is shown by the nucleotide which is conjugated to the Lee dye compound.

The claim differs from Lee only in the recitation of homologous side groups. It would have been prima facie obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to substitute homologous side groups with the expectation of constructing a product having similar useful properties.

3. Papers relating to this application may be submitted to Technology Center 1600 by facsimile transmission. The faxing of such papers must conform with the notice published in the Official Gazette, 1096 OG 30 (November 15, 1989). The Technology Center 1600 Fax numbers are (703) 305-3014 and 308-4242.

Serial No. 08/942,067 Art Unit 1634

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Scott Houtteman whose telephone number is (703) 308-3885. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday-Friday from 8:30 AM - 5:00 PM. The examiner can also be reached on alternate Mondays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, W. Gary Jones, can be reached at (703) 308-1152.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Technology Center receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

Scott Houtteman October 1, 1998

SCOTT W. HOUTTEMAN PRIMARY EXAMINER

Sun Nr. Hout